

EFFICIENT FEEDBACK FOR EFFECTIVE LEARNING

HOW LESS CAN (OFTEN) BE MORE

The 2012 Assessment Institute

Materials for a Workshop Session

3:45 – 5:00 PM on Monday October 2012

Tom Angelo

Adjunct Professor of Higher Education

La Trobe University

Victoria 3086 Australia

email t.angelo@latrobe.edu.au & thomas.a.angelo@gmail.com

A Few Questions We Might Consider . . .

- 1. Why do learners need feedback?***
- 2. What kinds of feedback do learners need?***
- 3. Does it matter what kind they want?***
- 4. How much is enough?***
- 5. How often is often enough?***
- 6. How can we make it both effective and efficient?***
- 7. How can we ensure that it's taken seriously?***
- 8. Who can provide useful feedback? (only faculty?)***
- 9. How can students give teachers useful feedback?***
- 10. _____ (your question)***

The RSQC2 Technique

Recall

Summarize

Question

Comment

Connect

Reference: Angelo, T.A. & Cross, K.P. Classroom Assessment Techniques: A Handbook for College Teachers, 2nd edition. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1993, pp. 344-348.

Tri-national Background Knowledge Probe

Please answer each question below for all three countries: The United States (USA), Canada (CAN), and Australia (AUS). Guessing is encouraged and expected.

	USA	CAN	AUS
1. Population?	_____	_____	_____
2. Area in sq kms?	_____	_____	_____
3. Current elected head of nat'l govt?	_____	_____	_____
4. Likely next head?	_____	_____	_____
5. % Indigenous?	_____	_____	_____
6. % of citizens without basic health care?	_____	_____	_____
7. Corruption ranking? (#1 = least corrupt)	_____	_____	_____

FIVE DIMENSIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING

In terms of total time you/your colleagues invest in teaching and assessing each, rank the dimensions below from #1 (most time) to #5 (least time)

Now, in the column below, rank these same dimensions in terms of the total amount of time invested in giving learners useful feedback on each: #1 (most time) to #5 (least time)

_____	Declarative Learning Learning <i>What</i> Learning facts and principles	_____
_____	Procedural Learning Learning <i>How</i> Learning skills and procedures	_____
_____	Conditional Learning Learning <i>When and Where</i> Learning applications	_____
_____	Reflective Learning Learning <i>Why</i> Learning to understand one's self and others	_____
_____	Metacognitive Learning Learning <i>How to Learn</i> Learning to direct and manage one's own learning	_____


Political Science 100, Section 20 – T.A. Angelo -- 1/28/91

Background Knowledge Probe #1

In response to each name, term, or concept in bold print below, circle the number that best represents your current knowledge:

	No. of Responses
1. Federalism	
(1) Have never heard of this	0
(2) Have heard of it, but don't really know what it means	14
(3) Have some idea what this means, but not too clear	15
(4) Have a clear idea what this means and can explain it	1
2. Separation of Powers	
(1) Have never heard of this	1
(2) Have heard of it, but don't really know what it means	6
(3) Have some idea what this means, but not too clear	18
(4) Have a clear idea what this means and can explain it	5
3. Republic	
(1) Have never heard of this	0
(2) Have heard of it, but don't really know what it means	5
(3) Have some idea what this means, but not too clear	23
(4) Have a clear idea what this means and can explain it	2
4. The <i>Constitution</i> of the U. S.	
(1) Have never heard of this	0
(2) Have heard of it, but don't really know what it means	2
(3) Have some idea what this means, but not too clear	8
(4) Have a clear idea what this means and can explain it	18
5. The <i>Articles of Confederation</i>	
(1) Have never heard of this	7
(2) Have heard of it, but don't really know what it means	13
(3) Have some idea what this means, but not too clear	6
(4) Have a clear idea what this means and can explain it	4
6. James Madison	
(1) Have never heard of this person	3
(2) Have heard of him, but don't really know who he was	8
(3) Have some idea who this was, but not too clear	15
(4) Have a clear idea who this was and can explain	4

Conceptest



Liquid hazardous waste is disposed of by pumping it down injection wells.

Which well location would be the most suitable to use for an injection well?

Why?

A

B

C

Thanks to Dr. David McConnell, Prof. of Geology at the University of Akron, for the example above.

STATISTICS FOR EVERYDAY LIFE – SPRING 2004 - ANGELO

FIRST CONCEPT REVIEW: *STANDARD DEVIATION*

Circle the one variable in each row that you would expect to have the largest relative standard deviation:

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. adult humans' heights | adult humans' weights |
| 2. domestic dogs' weights | domestic cats' weights |
| 3. oral language skills of 12-yr-olds | math skills of 12-year-olds |
| 4. hours students spend <u>in</u> this classroom | hours students spend studying <u>for</u> this class |
-

A Detailed Assessment/Grading Rubric

Macroeconomics Essay Grading Grid

Assignment: Write a well-structured, enlightened critical essay about current economic conditions that demonstrates command of existing economic knowledge, appropriate interpretation and application of that knowledge, and demonstrates appropriate use of data and argumentation to support well-reasoned policy recommendations.

Basic Questions:

- What is the current macroeconomic situation in the U.S.?
- What is the likely prognosis for the next 12 to 24 months?
- What are your economic policy recommendations?

Criterion	Distinguished	Exceeds Expectations	Meets all Expectations	Meets some Expectations	Unsatisfactory	Missing or fails minimum req.
Structure	10	8	6	4	2	0
Meets all minimum requirements						
Executive summary is effective & concise						
Introduction clearly lays out a roadmap for the paper and places the information in context						
Body addresses all the Basic Questions, includes the argumentation and data						
Conclusion provides summary and closure						
Content						
Knowledge	30	24	18	12	6	0
Command of existing economic knowledge						
Use of terms , theories, and data are						
Informed judgment demonstrated by selection of terms, theories and data (shown by the exclusion irrelevant and inclusion of						
Argumentation	40	32	24	16	8	0
Argument flows logically so that early statements lay the foundation for later statements and the reader is guided through the arguments						
Appropriate application of theory is used to make argument; clearly links theory and data to conclusions						
Arguments are persuasive focuses on key points, does not wonder, uses no unnecessary verbiage						
Alternative policies, arguments, conclusions and generalizations are noted where they exist and addressed; differences of opinion, supported by evidence, are also discussed						
Data used is reliable, valid, and pertinent; it provides effective support; no superficial information or tangential data muddies the argument						
Striving for Excellence and Creativity	20	16	12	8	4	0
Presentation is neat and professional; all visuals used are well labeled, clear, and effective conveying information better than words; text contains no errors and is easy to read & understand						
Creativity – the paper clearly holds the imprint of the author. Original thought is demonstrated by innovative organization, the integration of concepts and ideas, the use of new approaches, the novel use of visuals, or						

Thanks to Dr. Richard Stratton of the University of Akron for permission to use this example.

GROUP INFORMAL FEEDBACK ON TEACHING*

(The G.I.F.T. Technique)

Directions: Please write brief, honest, and legible responses to the questions below.

(Do not write your name on this paper.)

1. What are 1 or 2 specific things your teachers does/ your classmates do **that help you learn** in this course?

2. What are 1 or 2 specific things your teacher does/your classmates do **that hinder or interfere with** your learning?

3. Please provide your teacher/your classmates with **1 or 2 specific, practical suggestions on ways to help you improve your learning** in this course.

***Also known as Small Group Instructional Diagnosis (SGID)**

Reference: Angelo, T. A. & Cross, K. P. (1993). Classroom Assessment Techniques: A Handbook for College Teachers, (2nd ed.) San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, pp. 334-338.

Discussion Draft Questions for an Undergraduate Course/Teaching Feedback (not Evaluation!) Form

Questions about yourself (1= Always, 2=Usually, 3=Sometimes, 4=Rarely, 5=Never, NA= Not Applicable)

1. I was self-motivated to learn this course material	1	2	3	4	5	NA
2. I was well-prepared for each class session	1	2	3	4	5	NA
3. I asked the instructor for help/guidance when I needed it	1	2	3	4	5	NA
4. I invested enough time and energy to meet/exceed course requirements	1	2	3	4	5	NA
5. I participated actively and contributed thoughtfully in class sessions	1	2	3	4	5	NA
6. I attended class sessions and/or individual appointments	1	2	3	4	5	NA
7. Overall, I gave my best possible effort to learning in this course	1	2	3	4	5	NA

Questions about the course (1= Always, 2=Usually, 3=Sometimes, 4=Rarely, 5=Never, NA= Not Applicable)

8. The course was well-organized to help students learn	1	2	3	4	5	NA
9. The objectives and criteria for meeting them were made clear	1	2	3	4	5	NA
10. The assignments contributed to my learning	1	2	3	4	5	NA
11. The assessments/evaluations were clearly connected to the objectives	1	2	3	4	5	NA
12. The amount of work required was appropriate to the objectives	1	2	3	4	5	NA
13. The level of intellectual challenge was high	1	2	3	4	5	NA

Questions about the instructor (1= Always, 2=Usually, 3=Sometimes, 4=Rarely, 5=Never, NA= Not Applicable)

14. The instructor clearly connected the course objectives to course activities, assignments, and assessments	1	2	3	4	5	NA
15. The instructor encouraged me to connect my experience to the course	1	2	3	4	5	NA
16. The instructor provided clear and useful feedback to improve learning	1	2	3	4	5	NA
17. The instructor inspired interest and excitement in the course material	1	2	3	4	5	NA
18. The instructor was available and helpful when asked	1	2	3	4	5	NA
19. The instructor communicated ideas and information clearly and effectively	1	2	3	4	5	NA
20. The instructor evaluated and graded fairly	1	2	3	4	5	NA
21. The instructor treated students and their ideas with respect	1	2	3	4	5	NA
22. The instructor used required texts/other required materials effectively	1	2	3	4	5	NA

Summary Questions: Compared w/ other courses: (1=extremely high, 2=high, 3=adequate, 4=low, 5=very low)

23. This course increased my desire to continue learning about this material	1	2	3	4	5	NA
24. If a friend asked about taking this course, my recommendation would be	1	2	3	4	5	NA
25. Overall, I would rate the quality of this course as	1	2	3	4	5	NA
26. Overall, I would rate the effectiveness of the instructor as	1	2	3	4	5	NA
27. Overall, I would rate the amount I learned in this course as	1	2	3	4	5	NA
28. Overall, I would rate the value of what I learned in this course as	1	2	3	4	5	NA

Seven Levers for Deeper Learning

Research-based Guidelines for more Effective Teaching and Learning

Overall, research suggests that virtually all students can learn more – and more deeply – when we help them to . . .

- 1. Become explicitly aware of their own relevant prior knowledge, beliefs, preconceptions, and values – and unlearn, as needed***
- 2. Set and maintain realistically high and personally meaningful learning goals and expectations for academic success***
- 3. Learn how to learn effectively – given their own individual histories, talents, preferences, and goals – so they become increasingly self-directed and independent learners***
- 4. Understand the criteria, standards, and methods used in assessing and evaluating their learning and get useful, timely feedback on their performance against those standards***
- 5. Seek and find connections to and real-world applications of concepts and skills they are expected to learn***
- 6. Collaborate regularly and effectively with other learners and with teachers to achieve meaningful, shared learning goals***
- 7. Invest as much actively engaged time and high-quality effort as possible in their academic work***

The Minute Paper

Please answer each question in 1 or 2 sentences:

1) What was the most useful or meaningful thing you learned during this session?

2) What question(s) remain uppermost in your mind as we end this session?

Reference: Angelo, T. A. & Cross, K. P. Classroom Assessment Techniques: A Handbook for College Teachers, 2nd edition. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1993, pp. 148-153.

The "Muddiest" Point*

What was the "muddiest" point in this session?
(In other words, what was least clear to you?)

* Developed by Frederick Mosteller, a distinguished emeritus professor of statistics at Harvard University. For a detailed account of its development and use, see his article, *The "Muddiest Point in the Lecture" as a Feedback Device* in On Teaching and Learning: The Journal of the Harvard-Danforth Center, Vol. 3, April 1989, pp. 10-21.

Reference: Angelo, T. A. & Cross, K. P. Classroom Assessment Techniques: A Handbook for College Teachers, 2nd edition. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1993, pp. 154-158.

Effective assessment for learning . . .

- Uses language and examples that are equally familiar to all those undergoing the assessment
- Focuses only on what learning goals/outcomes specify
- Predicts relevant future behavior accurately
- Is relevant to real world performance demands
- Elicits consistent performance from learners & teachers
- Promotes and ensures academic integrity
- Is efficient for learners and assessors
- Provides meaningful feedback (is educative)

Effective feedback for learning . . .

- Feeds forward: Focuses on improving future performance
- Is iterative – part of a robust, regular process
- Is consequential and intrinsically valued
- Comes from multiple, credible and trusted sources
- Focuses on outcomes &/or behaviors, not on the person
- Is criteria and standards referenced, not norm-referenced
- Is specific and limited to what matters most
- Provides enough and strong enough evidence to support judgments and decisions made
- Can be implemented, given skills and time available
- Offers some choices regarding follow up
- Encourages and involves self-assessment, as well

WHY GIVE LEARNERS FEEDBACK?

- **TO IMPROVE PERFORMANCE & ACADEMIC SUCCESS**
 - **TO INCREASE INTEREST & MOTIVATION TO LEARN**
 - **TO ILLUMINATE AND UNDERMINE MISCONCEPTIONS**
 - **TO PROMOTE SELF-ASSESSMENT**
 - **TO DEVELOP INDEPENDENCE**
-

TO USE FEEDBACK WELL, LEARNERS NEED M.O.M.

- **MOTIVATION – REASONS TO USE IT**
 - **OPPORTUNITIES – FOR SAFE, GUIDED PRACTICE**
 - **MEANS – KNOWLEDGE & SKILLS FOR IMPROVEMENT**
-

THE ORDER IN WHICH WE GIVE FEEDBACK MATTERS

CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING FIVE STEPS:

1ST - GOOD NEWS: WHAT WAS DONE WELL

2ND - BAD NEWS: WHAT STILL NEEDS IMPROVEMENT

3RD - OPTIONS: WHAT CAN BE DONE TO IMPROVE IT

4TH - PLANS: WHAT THE LEARNER INTENDS TO DO

**5TH - COMMITMENTS: WHAT BOTH PARTIES AGREE TO DO,
HOW IT WILL BE DONE, TO WHAT STANDARD, AND BY WHEN**

Applications Card

DIRECTIONS: Please take a moment to recall the ideas, techniques, and strategies we've discussed -- and those you've thought up -- to this point in the session. Quickly list as many possible applications as you can. Don't censor yourself! These are merely possibilities. You can always evaluate the desirability and/or feasibility of these application ideas later.

***Interesting
IDEAS/TECHNIQUES
from this session***

***Some possible
APPLICATIONS of those
ideas/techniques to my work***

Reference: Angelo, T.A. & Cross, K.P. (1993). Classroom Assessment Techniques: A Handbook for College Teachers, 2nd edition. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, pp. 236-239.

A FEW USEFUL REFERENCES ON FEEDBACK AND ASSESSMENT

- Hattie, J. & Temperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Higher Education*, 77(1), 81-112.
- Huba, M.E. & Freed, J.E. (2000). *Learner-Centered Assessment on College Campuses: Shifting the Focus from Teaching to Learning*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Li, J. & De Luca, R. (2012). Review of assessment feedback. *Studies in Higher Education*.
DOI:10.1080/03075079.2012.709494
- Light, R. J. (2001). *Making the Most of College: Students Speak Their Minds*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard.
- Mentkowski, M. & Associates. (2000). *Learning that Lasts: Integrating Learning, Development, and Performance in College and Beyond*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Nichol, D.J. & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2007). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199–218.
- Price, M., et al. (2012). *Assessment Literacy: The Foundation for Improving Student Learning*. Oxford: Assessment Standards Knowledge Exchange (ASKe)/Oxford Brookes University.
- Suskie, L. (2009). *Assessing Student Learning: A Common Sense Guide, 2nd ed.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Walvoord, B.E. (2004). *Assessment Clear and Simple: A Practical Guide for Institutions, Departments, and General Education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Wiggins, G. (1998). *Educative Assessment: Designing Assessments to Inform and Improve Student Performance*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

... AND ON IMPROVING TEACHING AND LEARNING QUALITY

- Ambrose, S.A., et al. (2010). *How Learning Works: Seven Research-based Principles for Smart Teaching*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Anderson, L. & Krathwohl, D.R. (Eds.) (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessment: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives (Abridged Ed.)*. New York: Allyn & Bacon.
- Angelo, T. (2012). Designing subjects for learning: Practical, research-based principles and guidelines. In Hunt, L. & Chalmers, D. *University Teaching in Focus: A Learning-centred Approach*. London: Routledge, Taylor & Francis, 93-111.
- Biggs, J. & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for Quality Learning at University: What the Student Does, 4th ed.* Berkshire, England: McGraw-Hill/Open University Press.
- Boice, R. (1996). *First-Order Principles for College Teachers: Ten Basic Ways to Improve the Teaching Process*. Bolton, MA: Anker.
- Bransford, J.D., Brown, A.L., & Cocking, R.R. (Eds.). (2000). *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School, Expanded ed.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Davis, B.G. (2009). *Tools for Teaching, 2nd ed.* San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Hattie, J. A. C. (2009). *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement*. New York: Routledge.
- Kuh, G.D. et al. (2006). *What Matters to Student Success: A Review of the Literature*. Washington, DC: National Postsecondary Education Cooperative, U.S. Dept. of Education Institute of Education Sciences.
- Pascarella, E. & Terenzini, P. (2005). *How College Affects Students: A Third Decade of Research*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Ramsden, P. (2003). *Learning to Teach in Higher Education, 2nd ed.* London: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Svinicki, M.D. (2004). *Learning and Motivation in the Postsecondary Classroom*. Bolton, MA: Anker.