

How to Assess and Improve Student Writing

A Guide to Best Practice

Barbara E. Walvoord, Ph.D.
walvoord@nd.edu

Define “Writing”

- ▶ Not just grammar/punctuation
- ▶ Development and expression of ideas in writing
- ▶ Many genres, media, technologies
- ▶ Enmeshed with critical thinking, information literacy, problem-solving, etc.

Define “Good Writing”

National statements:

- ▶ Focus
- ▶ Organization
- ▶ Ethics
- ▶ Information/Sources
- ▶ Multi-media/quantitative
- ▶ Sentences/paragraphs
- ▶ Grammar/punctuation
- ▶ Process

Define, Cont.

- ▶ Get EVERYONE involved in defining writing, articulating the goals for “good” writing, and taking responsibility

How can Writing be Assessed?

- Direct: Standardized tests
Student papers
- Indirect: Student/alumni reports
Employer feedback
Document actions that
research links to
writing improvement

A Common Misstep in Assessment

“How about if we...”

- ▶ Compare first-year and final-year writing using a standardized test and/or scoring of papers/portfolios

NOPE, won't work in most situations

Why Won't It Work?

- ▶ Purpose
- ▶ Validity
- ▶ Reliability
- ▶ Population
- ▶ Link results to your actions?
- ▶ Show what to work on?

Okay, then, What CAN We Do?

Use assessment so serve your purposes
and shape your story

Your Story (& Outline for Report to Accreditors)

1. Based on assessment data, we decided to work on writing and built a sense of urgency.
2. We consulted research and best-practice literature.
3. We evaluated our current efforts.
4. We acted.
5. We assessed the results of our actions.

Assess, Decide, Urgency: Assessment Methods

- ▶ Reports from departments: What should the institution work on?
 - ▶ Standardized test
 - ▶ Student/alumni responses
 - ▶ Student work evaluated by faculty
- Bottom line: MANY faculty must be involved in data collection/analysis

Assess, Decide, Urgency: Example #1

- Writing Program studied 25 students through 4 years: all their writing, interviews
- Report: not enough writing, not intellectually demanding
- Nothing happened.

Assess, Decide, Urgency: Example #2

- Faculty committee rubric-scored a sample of writing that used sources across disciplines.
- Report: Many students used a limited set of strategies, plagiarized.
- Nothing happened.

Assess, Decide, Urgency: Example #3

- ▶ CLA Scores and NSSE Scores showed student writing needed work.
- ▶ Provost shared data with department chairs.
- ▶ Nothing happened.

Assess, Decide, Urgency: Example #4

- ▶ Departments were asked to identify learning outcomes that the institution should work on.
- ▶ Faculty committee analyzed: writing was the most frequently mentioned.
- ▶ Provost appointed task force to make recommendations; provided funding and support.

Assess, Decide, Urgency: Example #5

- ▶ Alumni survey: writing most important goal, but least well achieved.
- ▶ Results were widely discussed.
- ▶ Faculty committee analyzed a sample of student writing—yep, it needed work.
- ▶ Institution launched extensive program

Your Story (& Outline for Report to Accreditors)

1. Based on assessment data, we decided to work on writing and built a sense of urgency.
2. We consulted research and best-practice literature.
3. We evaluated our current efforts.
4. We acted.
5. We assessed the results of our actions.

Step 2: Consult Research, Best Practice Literature

Research: Students improve when:

- ▶ Lots of writing, language-rich classes
- ▶ Believe writing important
- ▶ Believe they can improve
- ▶ High standards
- ▶ Helpful guidance & feedback
- ▶ Learn effective processes
- ▶ Learn mindfulness/metacognition, transferable skills

Step 2: Consult Literature, cont.: ESOL Students

- ▶ Combat stereotypes and discrimination
- ▶ Help faculty deal with ESOL needs
- ▶ Offer support services
- ▶ Value literacies ESOL students possess
- ▶ Address cultural expectations about plagiarism and collaboration

Your Story (& Outline for Report to Accreditors)

1. Based on assessment data, we decided to work on writing and built a sense of urgency.
2. We consulted research and best-practice literature.
3. We evaluated our current efforts.
4. We acted.
5. We assessed the results of our actions.

Step 3: Evaluate Current Efforts

Questions: How much writing/revision?
Type? Feedback? Attitudes? What helped you? Would help you?

- ▶ Student/alumni surveys, focus groups, interviews
- ▶ Syllabi analysis, faculty survey/interviews/focus groups
- ▶ Data from student support services, e.g. tutoring, library, writing center, ESOL

Your Story (& Outline for Report to Accreditors)

1. Based on assessment data, we decided to work on writing and built a sense of urgency.
2. We consulted research and best-practice literature.
3. We evaluated our current efforts.
4. We acted.
5. We assessed the results of our actions.

Step 4: ACT!

Goal: In many classes, students:

- ▶ See that writing is important/necessary
- ▶ Safe, supportive, yet rigorous environment; instructor believes students can improve
- ▶ Read, read, read, in language-rich environment
- ▶ Write frequently, requiring higher-order thinking

▪ MORE...

Step 4: ACT! Cont.

Goal: In many classes, students:

- ▶ Learn multi-media forms and technologies
- ▶ Get helpful guidance, feedback, chances to revise
- ▶ Linear mindfulness (metacognition) and principles applicable across contexts
- ▶ Have easy access to support services (writing center, ESOL)

Step 4: ACT!

Challenge: Change pedagogy without substantial new resources or faculty

Step 4: ACT!

Research: Faculty CAN and DO change pedagogy. (Walvoord, p. 39)

When they perceive:

- ▶ Disjuncture between expectation and reality
- ▶ Administrative and colleague support
- ▶ Value to themselves
- ▶ A way to do it

Step 4, cont: Common Actions

- ▶ Faculty development
- ▶ “Writing-Intensive” courses
- ▶ Departmental responsibility
- ▶ Staff to help in classes
- ▶ Linked courses
- ▶ Required demonstration of skills
- ▶ High-quality first-year composition
- ▶ Support: writing center, ESOL

Step 4, cont: Traits of Successful Programs

- ▶ Strong faculty ownership
- ▶ Strong director
- ▶ Strong administrative philosophical and financial support, but willingness not to micromanage.
- ▶ Reward structure that values teaching

Your Story (& Outline for Report to Accreditors)

1. Based on assessment data, we decided to work on writing and built a sense of urgency.
2. We consulted research and best-practice literature.
3. We evaluated our current efforts.
4. We acted.
5. We assessed the results of our actions.

Step 5: Assess Results of Actions

- ▶ MAY change student self-reports on specific aspects, e.g. number of papers and revisions, frequency of feedback, perception of growth as a writer (e.g. the NSSE, augmented with WPA/NSSE questions).

Step 5: Assess Results of Actions

- ▶ UNLIKELY to change institution-wide standardized test scores or retention, unless part of wider effort.
- ▶ CAN change written products, processes, and attitudes in classes or programs.
- ▶ Then aggregate the results.

Step 5: Assess Actions, cont.

Indirect: faculty self-reported adoption of pedagogy that research has indicated will enhance student writing:

- ▶ We asked faculty who had participated in the faculty development whether they had changed their pedagogy in line with what had been developed in the workshops. X% of them replied that they had done so.

Step 5: Assess Actions, cont.

Indirect: Ask students about their learning and classroom pedagogy

- ▶ We asked students in the courses where faculty were using workshop-developed strategies:
 - How did the course help your writing?
 - Suggestions for improvement?
- ▶ Asked same question about the major/program as a whole

Step 5: Assess Actions, cont.

Direct Measures:

- In a year-long seminar, faculty
Evaluated student writing
Then made changes in pedagogy
Evaluated student writing again
- X% of the faculty documented
improvement in student writing.

Step 5: Assess Actions, cont.

Direct Measures:

- After changes in the program, we
asked outside scorers to blind score a
mixed set of before/after senior
seminar papers, using the same
rubric.
- The average score had improved X%.

Your Story (& Outline for Report to Accreditors)

1. Based on assessment data, we decided to work on writing and built a sense of urgency.
2. We consulted research and best-practice literature.
3. We evaluated our current efforts.
4. We acted.
5. We assessed the results of our actions.

Resource

- ▶ Walvoord, B.E. *Assessing and Improving Student Writing in College: A Guide for Institutions, General Education, Departments, and Classrooms*. Jossey-Bass, 2014.